



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/701,782	01/25/2001	Juergen Lauterjung	4100-0120P 8137	
2292	7590 07/09/2004		EXAMINER	
BIRCH STI PO BOX 747	EWART KOLASCH &	CHANG, EDITH M		
FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2634	5
			DATE MAILED: 07/09/2004	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/701,782	LAUTERJUNG ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Edith M Chang	2634			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti ly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fron e, cause the application to become ABANDONI	mely filed ys will be considered timely. n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 J	anuary 2001.				
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-4 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-4 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or					
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	cepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. So tion is required if the drawing(s) is old	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). bjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applica prity documents have been receiv u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	tion No ved in this National Stage			
Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 1.	4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:				

Art Unit: 2634

1

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1. This application does not contain an abstract of the disclosure as required by 37

CFR 1.72(b). An abstract on a separate sheet is required.

2. The following guidelines illustrate the preferred layout for the specification of a utility application. These guidelines are suggested for the applicant's use.

Arrangement of the Specification

As provided in 37 CFR 1.77(b), the specification of a utility application should include the following sections in order. Each of the lettered items should appear in upper case, without underlining or bold type, as a section heading. If no text follows the section heading, the phrase "Not Applicable" should follow the section heading:

- (a) TITLE OF THE INVENTION.
- (b) CROSS-REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATIONS.
- (c) STATEMENT REGARDING FEDERALLY SPONSORED RESEARCH OR DEVELOPMENT.
- (d) INCORPORATION-BY-REFERENCE OF MATERIAL SUBMITTED ON A COMPACT DISC (See 37 CFR 1.52(e)(5) and MPEP 608.05. Computer program listings (37 CFR 1.96(c)), "Sequence Listings" (37 CFR 1.821(c)), and tables having more than 50 pages of text are permitted to be submitted on compact discs.) or REFERENCE TO A "MICROFICHE APPENDIX" (See MPEP § 608.05(a). "Microfiche Appendices" were accepted by the Office until March 1, 2001.)
- (e) BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION.
 - (1) Field of the Invention.
 - (2) Description of Related Art including information disclosed under 37 CFR 1.97 and 1.98.
- (f) BRIEF SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION.
- (g) BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE SEVERAL VIEWS OF THE DRAWING(S).
- (h) DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION.
- (i) CLAIM OR CLAIMS (commencing on a separate sheet).
- (i) ABSTRACT OF THE DISCLOSURE (commencing on a separate sheet).

Art Unit: 2634

ربھ

Add BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS. In this section list all figures and its short descriptions.

Claim Objections

3. Claim 4 is objected to because of the following informalities: the term "the decision device" lacks antecedence. It suggests changing it to "a decision device".

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Peeters et al. (US 6628738 B1) in view of Shirakata et al. (US 6169751 B1).

Regarding claim 1, Peeters et al. discloses a process for processing OFDM-signals (Fig. 1) characterized in that: the channel correction values or the confidence values (Fig. 1 SNRi are the values) are determined from the pilots (Abstract wherein the pilot carriers are used for determination); weighting factors are derived from the values (Fig. 1 WEIGHT, column 7 lines 34-37, Ai are derived from the SNRi); the I/Q values of each individual carrier or OFDM-signal are weighted such that carriers received at a low level are weighted low and carriers received at a high level are weighted high (column 12 lines 25-30, wherein the claim 1 states the Ai is linearly proportional to the value SNRi:

Application/Control No. ber: 09/701,782

Art Unit: 2634

41

so when the SNRi is high which is the carriers received/the signal level at a high level, and is weighted high since the Ai is high; and vice versa that the low level weighted low), and the weighted I/Q values are added and divided by the sum of all the weighting factors (FIG. 1 S is the adder, DIV divides the output of the adder with the normalization factor B, column 7 lines 49-55). Even the I/Q values provided in the received OFDM signal, however does not explicitly specify the I/Q values of received OFDM signals. Shirakata et al. teaches the I/Q values of each individual carrier (Fig. 1 114), at the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to have the quadrature detector taught by Shirakata et al. in Peeters et al.'s multi-carrier transmission system (wherein the OFDM signals received via turner, quadrature detector, A/D, etc. before the FFT). As both Peeters et al's and Shirakata et al.'s system for synchronization purposes (column 1 lines 10-15 '897, column 1 lines 5-10 '751), Shirakata et al.'s apparatus show the means for creating I/Q values, the values are provided to FFT in Peeter et al.'s receiver. The combined receiver explicitly show the I/Q means to generate the I/Q values used in the Peeter et al.'s system to provide the OFDM

Regarding claim 2, Peeters et al. discloses the I/Q-values at the demodulator (Fig. 1 the output of the FFT/ROTOR) are fed to a time synchronization device (Fig. 1 ARR).

signals to the receiver for synchronization purposes.

Regarding claim 3, Peeters et al. discloses the I/Q values of each individual carrier of the OFDM-signal are weighted as a function of the channel correction values (column 12 lines 25-30, wherein the claim 1 states the Ai is linearly proportional to the 100

Application/Control 1 Joer. 09/70

value SNRi, wherein SNRi are the correction values, linearly proportional is the function).

Regarding claim 4, Peeter et al. discloses the data words available downstream of the decision device (Fig. 1 FIL/CHANNEL) are reduced to their original I/Q-values (Fig. 1 signals are feedback to FFT/ROTOR) and then weighted with the confidence values (Fig. 1 CHANNEL, outputs of CHANNEL to the ROTOR are confidence values).

Conclusion

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Edith M Chang whose telephone number is 703-305-3416. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stephen Chin can be reached on 703-305-4714. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Edith Chang June 24, 2004

CHIEH M. FAN PRIMARY EXAMINER